

**Pre-existing Accreditation Committee Rules Section 1:
"All business of the AC will be conducted in a transparent manner and documented as specified within these Policies and Procedures."**

Thus I am sharing the below information regarding the Business of the AC.

**John Fortuin,
Accreditation Committee Member**

=====

**Subject: [Accreditation] Georgia Process
Andy Ellis [via gp.org](mailto:via_gp.org)**

Mon, Apr 5, 6:35
PM

to Accreditation

Good Afternoon everyone.

Lyn Joy and I sent the rules for the Georgia Process off to the steering committee and set a start date for the process of Next monday, April 12th. That day the 30 day investigation period will begin. The rules are provided here for reference

--

Andy Ellis
Delegate to the National Committee
Maryland Green Party

Attachment: Rules and Procedures for hearing a complaint in the Accreditation Committee.pdf
=====

Jeffrey Sutter jsutter@igc.org [via gp.org](mailto:via_gp.org)

Mon, Apr 12, 2:02
PM

to Accreditation

How did this go with the SC?

// Jeff Sutter / jsutter@igc.org
Indiana

=====

Jeffrey Sutter jsutter@igc.org [via gp.org](#)

Thu, Apr 22, 3:42 PM (6 days ago)

to Accreditation

What did the SC say about those draft rules? I didn't see a result for this.

Thanks.

// Jeff Sutter / jsutter@igc.org
Indiana

=====

John Fortuin <johnfortuin@gmail.com>

Apr 23, 2021, 2:56 PM (5 days ago)

to Accreditation, jsutter,

I attended the 04/11 Steering Committee meeting as an observer. No Accreditation Committee Rules were discussed.

I was hoping to see an email from the Accreditation co-chairs, stating:

1. That the rules approved by Accreditation were not forwarded by the SC to the NC for a vote.
2. Therefore, there are no approved rules for hearing any complaints in the AC.
3. Therefore the LC complaint hearing is delayed until said rules are voted on by the NC.

However the absence of such a statement makes one wonder if the Accreditation Committee has gone rogue.

Where is the email resetting the Hearing Process to a future date?

In Peace
John Fortuin
Delegate, Georgia

=====

Andrea Merida andreamerida@gmail.com [via gp.org](#)

Fri, Apr 23, 3:01 PM (5 days ago)

to Accreditation

Hi John. We're still looking for answers from GPGA on a few questions, please. When can we expect to get answers?

If I'm not mistaken, I believe Andy said he and Lynn forwarded those rules to the SC via email. Also, they clarify the process that comports with the existing rules, so we don't need an NC vote.

Conversely, what GAGP is trying to do is drastically change the existing rules. That WOULD require an NC vote. 1040 is not faring well, though.

When can you provide us with answers to these questions?

=====

Andy Ellis via gp.org

Fri, Apr 23, 3:01 PM (5 days ago)

to Accreditation

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Andy Ellis** <s.andrew.ellis@gmail.com>

Date: Sun, Apr 11, 2021 at 10:15 AM

Subject: Investigation Process begins tomorrow

To: Accreditation Committee for the Green Party of the US <accreditation@gp.org>

Good Morning,

Unless we hear otherwise from the steering committee the process begins tomorrow investigating the complaint against Georgia.

These are the rules we have adopted.

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1_o7r3CP4M4gmpIpzTAe1VDNcgriFszsfkL6WVMhLji0/edit?usp=sharing

Lyn Joy and I will notify the Lavendar Caucus and the Georgia green party that they are able to provide written or oral testimony.

Members of this committee can also provide written questions to the co-chairs and the co-chairs will pass them on the parties.

Finally, today i will put together a folder that has every official document in it.

The process will run from April 12th to May 12th.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

=====
Michael Trudeau mdtrudeau@gmail.com [via gp.org](#) Apr 23, 2021, 3:09 PM (5 days ago)

to Accreditation

Andy, are you and Lyn still collecting questions for the respondents and complainants from AC members? If so, can you share that form again?

Michael Trudeau
n carolina

=====
Andy Ellis [via gp.org](#) Fri, Apr 23, 3:10 PM (5 days ago)

to Accreditation

Sure we sent a batch of those questions. I'll share the form again after work.

=====
Andy Ellis [via gp.org](#) Fri, Apr 23, 6:11 PM (5 days ago)

to Accreditation

Here is the link to ask questions
[https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSck5vgW9YWAEI0HqHDL6il-Wh2mO7SCfkGLTq7F4VPK9CbGzA/viewform?usp=sf link](https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSck5vgW9YWAEI0HqHDL6il-Wh2mO7SCfkGLTq7F4VPK9CbGzA/viewform?usp=sf_link)

=====
Jeffrey Sutter [via gp.org](#) Mon, Apr 26, 8:33 PM (2 days ago)

to jsutter, Accreditation

Having heard nothing on this inquiry, do I understand correctly that the rules were ***not*** considered and approved?

=====
Andy Ellis [via gp.org](#) Mon, Apr 26, 8:35 PM (2 days ago)

to Accreditation, jsutter

The steering committee did not say anything to us. There are 5 steering committee members on this committee perhaps they can explain to you.

=====

Andrea Merida Apr 26, 2021, 8:38 PM (2 days ago)
I don't recall it even being on their agenda. I may be wrong, please correct me if so.

=====

Daniel Bumbarger via Accreditation Mon, Apr 26, 8:40 PM (2 days ago)

to Daniel, Accreditation

If I remember from our meeting we believed we only had to inform the SC (ie email the rules) not that we had to force a vote on them.

Daniel Bumbarger, NLGC
Olympia, WA

=====

Tamar Yager Apr 26, 2021, 10:15 PM (2 days ago)

to jsutter, Accreditation

I thought that sending the rules to the SC was just to keep them apprised. It was a courtesy. If there had been anything really out-of-whack I imagine someone would have spoken up. And, like Andy said, 5 of us (majority) are on this committee.

Tamar

=====

Andrea Merida andreamerida@gmail.com via gp.org Mon, Apr 26, 10:17 PM (2 days ago)

to Accreditation

Can we hear from the rest of you, then?

=====